

THE APOCRYPHAL CHARACTER OF THE EXTANT BRAHMAVAIVARTA PURAṆA

By

ANAND SWARUP GUPTA

[पुराणानां यद्रूपमधुनोपलभ्यते तदेव तेषां प्राचीनं रूपमासीन्नवेत्यत्र विषये विप्रतिपत्तिर्वर्तते । पुराणानि खलु कालक्रमेण सङ्कटसङ्क्रां संशोधितानि परिवर्तितानि वेति बहव आधुनिकविद्वांसो मन्यन्ते । अस्मिन्लेखे वर्तमान-ब्रह्मवैवर्तपुराणस्य प्राचीनत्वं मौलिकत्वं चालोचितम् । मत्स्यपुराणे ब्रह्मवैवर्त-पुराणस्य यद्दर्शनमुपलभ्यते तदनुसारि नेदं वर्तमानब्रह्मवैवर्तपुराणमिति स्पष्टं प्रतीयते । यद्यप्यस्य पुराणस्य विषये 'कृष्णमाहात्म्यसंयुतम्' इति मात्स्ये कथितम्, परन्तु पद्मपुराणेन राजसपुराणेषु ब्रह्मवैवर्तपुराणमन्तर्भावितं, राजसानि च पुराणानि ब्रह्ममाहात्म्यपराणीति च मात्स्यं मन्यते, ब्रह्मवैवर्त-पुराणदानस्य ब्रह्मलोकप्राप्तिरूपं फलमपि च तत्रैव मात्स्ये स्कान्दे चोक्तम्, अतः प्राचीने ब्रह्मवैवर्ते 'ब्रह्मा' एव प्रधानदेव आसीत्, यस्यैव माहात्म्यं तत्र बाहुल्येनावर्तत, कृष्णमाहात्म्यमपि तस्मिन्प्रसाधारणविषयत्वेनाविद्यत न तु प्रधानविषयत्वेनेत्यनुमीयते । वर्तमानब्रह्मवैवर्तपुराणे तु कृष्णस्यैव प्राधान्यं वर्तते, स एव तत्र सत्यमक्षरं निर्गुणं परं ब्रह्मेति मन्यते, शिवब्रह्मादयो देवाः, लक्ष्मीसरस्वत्यादयो देव्यश्च कृष्णस्यैव आविर्भावा इत्यपि तत्र कथितम् । एशियाटिकसोसाइटी-बंगाल-सविधे कस्यचिद् 'आदिब्रह्मवैवर्तमहापुराणस्य' द्वौ कोशौ विद्येते, तद् 'आदिब्रह्मवैवर्तमहापुराणं' तु वर्तमानब्रह्मवैवर्तमहापुराणात् सर्वथा भिद्यते—इति तयोः कोशयोः प्रदत्तानाम् अध्यायपुष्पिकाणामवलोकनेनैव प्रतीयते । पुनश्च, ख्रीस्तीयषोडशशताब्दीकाले वर्तमानैः स्मृतिचन्द्रिका-कारप्रभृतिभिर्वहुमिनिर्वन्धकारैः तदानीन्तनस्य ब्रह्मवैवर्तपुराणस्य बहवः श्लोकाः स्वनिबन्धग्रन्थेषूद्धृताः, परं ते वर्तमानब्रह्मवैवर्तपुराणे नैवोपलभ्यन्ते—इत्यादिभिः प्रमाणैर्वर्तमानब्रह्मवैवर्तपुराणस्याप्राचीनत्वं संदिग्धप्रमाणत्वं च सूच्यते । 'दक्षिणा', 'षष्ठीदेवी', 'मङ्गलचण्डी', 'मनसादेवी' च देव्योऽत्र वर्णिताः तासां पूजा च विहिता, एतासां देवीनां पूजा च वंगदेशे प्रचरति, अतः वंगदेशे ब्रह्मवैवर्तपुराणमिदं रचितमासीदिति हरप्रसादशास्त्रिणोऽपि मतम् ।]

Although the Veda or Śruti has been regarded as the primary source of dharma¹, yet the religion of the Hindu Society

1. *Of Manusmriti* 2. 13b—'धर्मं जिज्ञासमानानकं प्रमाणं परमं श्रुतिः' ॥

has been predominantly Puranic. The Purāṇas, therefore, occupy a very important position in the whole domain of the ancient Indian literature. While the Vedas were reserved mainly for the highly intelligent and educated section of the upper classes (the dvijas) of the Hindu Society, the Purāṇas were meant for the masses. They were in fact the Veda of the laity, and in this respect they occupied a higher position even than of the Vedas¹. They have been the main sources of inspiration for the religious thoughts and activities of the Hindus, and also formed the important factors in moulding the Hindu Society. But in order to retain their authoritative character, the Purāṇas had to keep pace with the vicissitudes through which the Hindu Society passed owing to the varying political, economic and religious condition of the country. So with the changes of the society the Purāṇas also underwent periodical revisions and modifications, so much so that many of the Purāṇas have lost their original form, and have become altogether new works, although retaining the same old titles. Such Purāṇas, therefore, do not present a true and genuine picture of the ancient religion and culture of India, but they give us a muddy picture of late sectarianism.

Almost every Purāṇa has been subjected to one or more revisions and editions. But there are some Purāṇas, such as Vishṇu, Matsya, Vāyu and Brahmāṇḍa, which have retained much of their old and genuine character; while there are some other Purāṇas which have almost lost their original form, although bearing the same old titles. The apocryphal character of such Purāṇas becomes evident on a closer study. The extant Brahmapaivarta Purāṇa is also regarded by many modern scholars as one of such apocryphal works.

Mr. H. H. Wilson, in the preface to his English translation of the Vishṇu Purāṇa remarks, 'The Brahmapaivarta has not the slightest title to be regarded as a Purāṇa.' According to him it is a sectarian work of a very late origin. M. M. Dr.

1. Cf. *Nīṭradīya* P., 2. 24. 17a—'वेदार्थादधिकं मन्ये पुराणार्थं वरानने ।'

Haraprasada Shastri, in his preface to the Descriptive Catalogue of Sanskrit Manuscripts (Vol. V) deposited in the Asiatic Society of Bengal, remarks—"The Brahmaivaivarta Purāṇa in the present shape can not be very old.....There seems to have existed a Brahma-vaivarta Purāṇa very different from the one which we now possess." Dr. R. C. Hazra is also of opinion that certain genuine Purāṇas were later on replaced by spurious works bearing the same title, and one of such works he mentions is the Brahma-vaivarta Purāṇa also¹. The following few facts prove the apocryphal character of the extant Brahma-vaivarta Purāṇa :

1. The Matsya Purāṇa in its Adh. 53 gives the following description of the Brahmayivarta Purāṇa :—

रथतरस्य कस्यस्य वृणन्तमभिवृण्य यत् ।
सावर्णिना नारदाय कृष्णमाहात्म्यसंयुतम् ॥
यत्र ब्रह्मवराहस्य चरितं वर्ण्यते मुहुः ।
तद्वद्यवशसाहसं ब्रह्मवैवर्तमुच्यते ॥

Thus, according to the Matsya Purāṇa the original Brahma-vaivarta was narrated by Sāvargi to Nārada, and contained the Mahātmya or glorification of Śrī-Kṛṣṇa and a repeated account of Brahma-Varāha with a reference to the occurrence of the Rathasmtara Kalpa. The extant Brahmayivarta, however, says that it was narrated by Nārāyaṇa Ṛishi to Nārada—"नारायणविर्मगवान् प्रददौ नारदाय च" (I. 1. 64a)², it does not contain the account of Brahma-Varāha, and has no reference to Rathasmtara Kalpa. The absence of these important characteristics, which have been mentioned by such old authority as the Matsya Purāṇa, and the predominance in it of the glorification of Rādhā and Kṛṣṇa prove its apocryphal character, and make it a work of some later age when the cult of the bhakti of Rādhā-Kṛṣṇa

1. Cf. Dr. R. C. Hazra's article 'Studies in the genuine Āgneya Purāṇa' published in 'Our Heritage' Vol. I (1953) pp. 210-245.

2. All the references of the Brahmayivarta Purāṇa have been given here from its Anandāśrama edition.

had great influence in the Hindu Society, specially among the Hindus of the eastern districts of India where the extant Brahmapaivarta Purāṇa seems to have been composed¹.

2. The Matsya divides the Purāṇas into four categories as follows :—

सात्त्विकेषु पुराणेषु माहात्म्यमधिकं हरेः ।
 राजसेषु च माहात्म्यमधिकं ब्रह्मणो विदुः ॥
 तद्वदन्नेष्व माहात्म्यं तामसेषु शिवस्य च ।
 संकीर्णेषु सरस्वत्याः पितृणां च निगद्यते ॥

(53. 68-69)

Thus the sāttvika Purāṇas predominantly glorify Hari or Vishnu, the rājasa glorify Brahmā, the tāmasa glorify Śiva, and the saṁskṛta or composite glorify Sarasvatī and the Pitṛis. The Padma Purāṇa places the Brahmapaivarta among the rājasa Purāṇas which according to the Matsya belong to the Brahmā-cult :—

ब्रह्माण्डं ब्रह्मवैवर्तं मार्कण्डेयं तथैव च ।
 मविष्यं वामनं ब्राह्मं राजसानि निमोघ मे ॥

(Ān. ed., Uttara Khaṇḍa, 264. 84)

So, according to the Padma Purāṇa the Brahmapaivarta is a rājasa Purāṇa having Brahmā as its highest deity; and according to the Śiva-rahasya Khaṇḍa of the Śaṅkara-Saṁhitā of the Skanda Purāṇa (Chap. 2. verses 30-5) the Sun (Savitṛi)

1. The extant Brahmapaivarta Purāṇa mentions the names of certain goddesses, such as Shashihī Devī, Māgaleshanjīkī, Minasī (or Menasī Devī) and Dakshinī (see *Prakṛti Khaṇḍa*, 4.8), and contains their upākhyānas and Stotras etc. (*Ibid.*, Adhyāyas 42-45). These goddesses are worshipped mainly in the eastern districts of India. This Purāṇa also enjoins the worship of Goddess Sarasvatī on the fifth day of the bright half of the month of Mīgha, (*Ibid.*, 4. 88 ff.), which is still prevalent in Bengal. Again, this Purāṇa mentions certain mixed castes, such as Jola and Vaidya etc. (Brahmakhaṇḍa, Adh. 10, Śl. 121, 123) which are found only in Bengal.

is its highest deity¹. All this show that Kṛishṇa was not the main deity of the original Brahma-Vaivarta. But in the extant Brahma-Vaivarta Śrī-Kṛishṇa with his consort Rādhā is the supreme deity from the beginning to the end.

The present BV. Purāṇa is divided into four Khaṇḍas :— (1) Brahma-Khaṇḍa, (2) Prakṛiti-Khaṇḍa, (3) Gaṇeśa-Khaṇḍa and (4) Śrī-Kṛishṇa-Janma-Khaṇḍa. Some meagre account of Brahmā is contained in the Brahma-Khaṇḍa only and there also he has been subordinated to Śrī-Kṛishṇa. This Khaṇḍa contains only 30 chapters, whereas the Śrīkṛishṇa-Janma-khaṇḍa, which constitutes the main bulk of this Purāṇa, contains 133 chapters. It is clearly the work of the Bhāgavata-Vaiṣṇavas who joined hands with the Śaivas to overshadow the cult of Brahmā-worshippers, and they might have also modified or completely changed the genuine Brahma-vaivarta to propagate their own views. Śiva is generally regarded as tāmasa, and the Matsya Purāṇa also says that tāmasa Purāṇas are those which mainly glorify Śiva ; but the present Brahma-vaivarta says that both Viṣṇu and Śiva are sāttvika, and only the ignorant fools regard Śiva as tāmasa—

राजसस्य स्वयं ब्रह्मा शिवो विष्णुश्च सात्त्विकौ ॥

परमज्ञानिनो मूर्खा वदन्ति तामसं शिवम् ॥

(Brahma-khaṇḍa, 8. 20b, 21b)

Thus the genuine Brahma-vaivarta, a work of the Brahmā-worshippers, has been superseded by the extant Brahma-vaivarta through the combined efforts of the Vaiṣṇavas and Śaivas. It is on this account that it, being a Vaiṣṇava work, exhibits a spirit of religious toleration towards the Śaivas also.

The present Brahma-vaivarta Purāṇa (Ān. ed.) contains four khaṇḍas, as has been said before. Following the footsteps of Śrīmad Bhāgavata (which also has Śrīkṛishṇa as its main

1. See J. Eggeling, *Descriptive Catalogue of the Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Library of the India Office*, VI, Nos. 3671-2, p. 1368, referred to by R.C. Hazare, *op. cit.* p. 210, f.n. 4.

Deity, and which divides its tenth Skandha into Pūrvārdha and Uttarārdha) it also divides its Śrī-Kṛṣṇa-Janma-Khaṇḍa into Pūrvārdha and Uttarārdha. Moreover, like the Bhāgavata-Purāṇa it also attributes ten characteristics (lakṣhaṇas) instead of the famous pañcha lakṣhaṇas, to the Mahāpurāṇas, and remarks that the five lakṣhaṇas (viz. Sarga, Pratisarga, Vamśa, Manvantaras, and Varnāśnucharita) belong to the Upapurāṇas (cf. Bhāg. XII. 7. 9-10 ; and BV. IV. 12. 6-11)

Although the original Brahma-vaivarta, according to the Matsya and some other Purāṇas, contained Kṛṣṇa-māhātmya as its special topic, yet this māhātmya might not have been the main topic there, as is the case with the extant Brahma-vaivarta, because the original Brahma-vaivarta Purāṇa has been regarded as a rājasa Purāṇa with Brahmā as its principal Deity.

In the present Brahma-vaivarta Purāṇa Kṛṣṇa has been regarded as the Absolute Brahman, the Unconditioned Supreme Being beyond Prakṛiti, residing in the Goloka with the Rādhā and the cowherds, in the form of a young Gopa with two hands and with a flute in his hands (L. 12. 64-65), whom the Vaiṣṇavas meditate upon (L. 2). Vaiṣṇavas have been praised as great Yogis and saints (I. 1. 48), even a sinner gets salvation by a mere touch of a Vaiṣṇava follower ('वैष्णवस्पर्शमात्रेण मुक्त्यो भवति पातकी' IV. 1. 65). A Vaiṣṇava Chāṇḍālā is superior to a non-Vaiṣṇava Brāhmaṇa ('अवैष्णवाद् द्विजाद् विप्र चण्डालो वैष्णवो वरः' I. 11. 39). It should be noted that the word 'Vaiṣṇava' here is not a general term for a devotee of God, for this Purāṇa is very explicit in defining a Vaiṣṇava—only that man is to be called 'Vaiṣṇava' who belongs to the Vaiṣṇava sect, and who has been duly initiated by a guru into the Vaiṣṇava fold by imparting him the Viṣṇu-mantra ; such an initiated Vaiṣṇava is extremely pure and jīvan-mukta (L. 11. 41, 42), and he certainly attains Goloka (L. 12. 23).

Thus the ancient Brahma-vaivarta of the Brahmā-cult has been superseded by the extant Brahma-vaivarta of the Vaiṣṇava-cult.

3. That the genuine *Brahma-vaivarta* was originally a work of the *Brahmā-cult*, and not of the *Vaiṣṇava cult*, is also indicated by the following statement of the *Matsya* and the *Skanda Purāṇa* :—

पुराणं ब्रह्मवैवर्तं यो दद्यान्मात्रमासि च ।

पौर्णमास्यां शुभदिने ब्रह्मलोके महीयते ॥

(*Matsya*, 53. 35)

पुराणं ब्रह्मवैवर्तं यो दद्याद् ब्राह्मणोत्तमे ।

मात्रमासे पौर्णमास्यां ब्रह्मलोके महीयते ॥

(*Skanda*, 7. 1. 2. 53)

Thus a person who gives a copy of the *Brahmavaivarta* to a worthy *Brahmana* is said to attain the *Brahma-loka* (the region of *Brahmā*). The *Saura Purāṇa* (9. 27) also makes the similar statement. But according to the present *BV. Purāṇa* *Brahma-loka* is far inferior to *Goloka* and is never the goal of the worshippers of *Kṛishṇa* who unfailingly attain the *Goloka*, the eternal abode of supreme bliss :—

अल्पकमचालनेनैव ब्रह्मणः पतनं भवेत् ।

तद् ब्रह्मत्वं स्वप्नतुल्यं कृष्णभक्तो न चेच्छति ॥

(I. 12. 33)

...कृष्णभक्तस्य गोलोकं यति निश्चितम् ॥

(I. 14, 58)

4. The ancient *Purāṇic* literature contains little of *tāntrika* element which has definitely an independent origin and growth. But the extant *BV. Purāṇa* abounds in *tānticism*; its *Prakṛiti-khaṇḍa* and *Gaṇeśa-khaṇḍa* are specially full of *tāntic kavachas*, *bījas*, and *Pūjā-vidhīs*. This *tāntic* element incorporated into the body of its text clearly proves the late origin or the total revision of the extant *Brahma-vaivarta*. On account of this *tāntic* element the *Brahma-vaivarta*, as it is available today, loses much of its genuine character.

5. A number of Nibandha-works (such as Smṛiti-Chandrikā, Hemādri's Chaturvarga-Chīntāmaṇi, Kāla-nirṇaya, Kāla-sāra, Raghunandana's Smṛiti-tattva, Haribhakti-vilāsa etc.) belonging to the sixteenth century A. D. have drawn upon a Brahma-vaivarta Purāṇa. About 1500 lines of that Brahma-vaivarta have been quoted in these works, but only 30 of these lines are found in the extant Brahma-vaivarta (in its IV khaṇḍa). The absence of the remaining lines in the present Brahma-vaivarta shows that it was not the work from which those Nibandhakāras quoted in their works. So this Brahma-vaivarta was not known even to the Nibandhakāras of the sixteenth century.¹ This proves its apocryphal character.

6. In vol. V of the Descriptive Catalogue of the Sanskrit Manuscripts in the collections of the Asiatic Society of Bengal are mentioned two Devanāgarī Mss. of the Brahma-vaivarta Purāṇa—Nos. 3820 and 3821, both of which are fragmentary and incomplete. Both these Mss. begin from Adh. 29. Ms. No. 3820 contains 55 folios only, while Ms. 3821 has 113 folios, and is comparatively older. Some of their chapter-colophons show that both these Mss. belong to a different Brahma-vaivarta Purāṇa called 'Ādi Brahma-vaivarta Mahāpurāṇa' which was quite different from the extant Brahma-vaivarta" (Ān. and Vaṅgavāsi

1. cf. Hazra's *Purāṇic Records*, P. 160.

2. The chapter-colophons of Ms. No. 3821, as quoted in the above Catalogue, are given below, so that the difference between the Ādi and the extant Brahma-vaivarta may be clearly seen :—

Folio 4 A—इति श्रीमहापुराणे ब्रह्मवैवर्ते श्रीवत्सलमनोपायनिरुद्धे पराशरोपाख्याने एकोनत्रिंशत्तमोऽध्यायः (Same also in Ms. 3820, folio 3 A—इत्यादि महापुराणे ब्रह्मवैवर्ते.); 6 A—=ब्रह्मवैवर्ताख्ये प्रतिसर्गाद्ये द्वाप्रसंगात्तर्वा वसिष्ठगीतास्तु त्रिंशत्तमोऽध्यायः; 18 A—योगप्रशंसानामाध्यायः; 18B—इत्यादिब्रह्मपुराणे ब्रह्मवैवर्ते भृशभक्तप्रतिष्ठादादि-सामान्यवर्गीकृतं नाम ब्रह्मविंशोऽध्यायः; 28B—इति श्री० प्रतिसर्गाद्ये व्यासश्रुतसंवादे षट्सुप्रपदेशो नाम षट्त्रिंशोऽध्यायः (Same also in Ms. No. 3820, folio 18B—इत्यादि-महापुराणे.); 31A—इति श्री० प्रतिसर्गाद्ये विद्योपदेशाधिकरणनिरुद्धं नाम सप्तत्रिंशत्तमोऽध्यायः; 37 A—इति श्री० अष्टममहावासरः समाप्तः; 39 A—इत्यादिमहापुराणे एकादशीमाहात्म्यं चत्वारिंशोऽध्यायः; 45 A—=विंशत्यवतारितं नाम द्वाचत्वारिंशत्तमो-

editions both). This Ādi Brahma-vaivarta Mahāpurāṇa contained very little account of Kṛishṇa and his Prakṛitis. Its chapters 29th and 30th contained पराशरोपाख्यान and वशिष्ठगीता respectively :—

इत्यादिमहापुराणे ब्रह्मवैवर्ते कोद्यप्रद्यम्नोपायनिरूपणे

पराशरोपाख्याने एकोनविंशत्तमोऽध्यायः । (Both Mss.)

इति श्रीमहापुराणे ब्रह्मवैवर्त्तस्ये प्रतिसर्गांशे दयामर्शसायां

वशिष्ठगीतायु त्रिंशत्तमोऽध्यायः । (Ms. 3821)

But the corresponding chapters in the extant Brahma-vaivarta are quite different and do not at all contain these topics, they contain श्रीकृष्णमाहात्म्यवर्णन and भगवत्स्तुतिवर्णन respectively. The Ādi Brahma-vaivarta Mahā-Purāṇa differed from the extant Brahma-vaivarta in several other respects also, which a perusal of its chapter-colophons, as given in the above mentioned Descriptive Catalogue, will show.¹ It seems that it was not divided into separate Khaṇḍas as the extant Brahma-vaivarta is, but contained continuous chapters. Its extent was also much less. The Nāradiya Mahāpurāṇa was not in the know of such a Brahma-vaivarta, because it mentions the same four Khaṇḍas of the Brahma-vaivarta, which the extant Brahma-vaivarta contains. So the Ādi Brahma-vaivarta of the above mentioned Mss. might be more ancient than the present Nāradiya Mahāpurāṇa.²

अध्यायः ; 69 B—कालस्वप्ननामाध्यायः ; 82 A—कालस्वरूपवर्णने कलिहाराक्षीप्रवृत्तानाम् चतुःस्वधारिण्यस्तोऽध्यायः ; 86 A—पतिवतोपाख्याने वदत्स्वधारिण्यस्तोऽध्यायः ; 85 B—रात्रिप्रतोपाख्याने तापसधर्मव्यावर्तनादे ४० अध्यायः ; 68 B—ब्रह्मोपाख्याने ४८ अध्यायः ; 68 A—नरककुन्डनिवेदनं नाम ५२ अध्यायः ; 80 A—वत्सत्रेयोत्ततिर्नामाध्यायः ; 84 A—प्रमोहलोचनपावनप्रवृत्तानाम् सर्गः ; 91 A—गोदानमाहात्म्यं दानकालनिर्णयो नाम सर्गः ; 92 B—मित्रयामिनिर्नाम सर्गः ; 107 B—वदधमगीता स्वधमचरितं नामाध्यायः ; 113—इत्यादि महापुराणे ब्रह्मवैवर्ते अष्टोत्तलसिः श्रीजन्माध्यायः ।

1. See fn. 2 on p. 99 above.

2. The antiquity of this Ādi Brahma-vaivarta Mahāpurāṇa may also be inferred from the following facts :—

The Ādi BV. mentions twenty Avatars of Viṣṇu in its Adhyāya 42 (see fn. 2, p. 99 above, like the Bhāgavata which also mentions about the same number of Avatars in I. 3, II, 7 and IV. 8. But in the *Deśigata-Stotra* of Kāṣhemendra

7. The Nāradya Mahāpurāṇa (Pūrva Khaṇḍa, Adh. 101) gives the same characteristics and extent of the Brahma-vaivarta as given in the other Purāṇas. In almost all the Purāṇas which give the list of the eighteen Mahā Purāṇas, the extent of the Brahma-vaivarta is given as 18,000 ślokas. But the Anandāśrama and the Vāṇa-vāsi editions of the Brahma-vaivarta contain more than 22,000 ślokas, and the Venkṭeśvara edition contains about 25,000 ślokas. So the extant Brahma-vaivarta contains about 5,000 ślokas as later additions; and its remaining portion is also a completely revised work.¹

In short, the extant Brahma-vaivarta Purāṇa is not the same work as described by the Matsya and the other Purāṇas. It is a revised, enlarged and totally changed work, and is most probably an apocryphal work of the Bhāgavatas or Kṛishṇa-worshippers, compiled in the eastern part of India (specially in Bengal), and perhaps in the early Muhammadan period of Indian history.²

(11th century A.D.) and in the Viṣṇupura-Cards (7th or 8th century A.D.) the number of Avatāras is given as ten. The larger number of Avatāras given in a work often indicates its older date. So the Ādi BV, must belong to the same antiquity as the Bhāg. i. e. to the time before the Viṣṇupura-Cards, or to the Gupta Period. (Vide also H. P. Shastri's preface to his D. C., Vol. V, pp. LXXXVII, CLX).

Again, the Ādi BV. contains a chapter on the Ākhyāyikās of six Gurus (०षट्गुरुपदेशो नाम षडङ्गिषोऽध्यायः, f.n. 2, p. 99 above). Although these stories are referred to in the Ākhyāyikā-Adhyāya (IV) of the *Saṅkhyā-Sūtra* (Sūtras 1, 5, 9, 11, 12, 14), but they are really based on a much more ancient work of Sāṅkhyā philosophy, viz. the *Shaṅkṣit-tantra* of Pañchasiṅha, which also contained these and other Ākhyāyikās (of. Isvara Kṛishṇa's *Sāṅkhyā-Kārikā*, 79). So this Ādi BV. must have been an old work. (Of. also H. P. Shastri, *op. cit.*, preface, p. cix)

1. of. H. P. Shastri, *op. cit.*, preface, p. clvi.

2. The extant Bv. Purāṇa contains also the description of the Mlecchobhas as follows :—

अविद्वज्जर्णः दूरात् निर्भया रणदुर्जयाः ।

घौचाचारविहीनाश्च दुर्धर्मा धर्मवर्जिताः ॥

(Brahmakhaṇḍa, 10, 190)

Surely, the Mlecchobhas referred to here are no others than the Muhammadans, for the mixed caste Joll, which is said to have been produced by a Mlecchobha in a Kuvinda (weaver) girl ('म्लेच्छाच्छुद्धिदकभ्यायां बोलाजातिर्मयं च' I. 10, 121a), is still considered a Muhammadan caste in Bengal.